

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME Juited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/765,088	01/28/2004	Hideo Watanabe	Q79546	9813
	590 11/03/2004		EXAM	INER
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			GORDON, RAEANN	
2100 Pennsylva	ania Avenue, N.W.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, D	OC 20037-3213		. 3711	
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- X
· •	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summer	10/765,088	WATANABE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
/	Raeann Gorden	3711	
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states are reply in the set or extended period for reply any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a unication. of this distribution of the statutory minimum of this tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI will. by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communications are communicated to the communication of	ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 28 January 2004.		
—	b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for		ters, prosecution as to the merits	s is
closed in accordance with the practic	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the app	Nication	,	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are			* .
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	williarawii iloili consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5 and 6</u> is/are rejected.	·		
7) Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to.	•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election requirement.		,
Application Papers	•	•	
	Francisco		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		har than the	
Applicant may not request that any object			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152	r(a).
` ;		. 6.1100 / 10.1011 01 10.1111 1 10.102.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority do			ı
	ocuments have been received in A		
 Copies of the certified copies of application from the International 		received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action		ropolized	
3)	eceived.	
·			
ttachment(s)	•		•
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	,
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1-28-04</u>.)-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trademark Office			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/765,088

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant is redefining the intermediate layer. Currently claim 6 depends from claim 5, which defines the composition of the intermediate layer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe et al (2001/0016522). Regarding claim 1, Watanabe discloses a golf ball comprising a core, an intermediate layer, and cover layer. The Shore D hardness of the cover layer is larger than the hardness of the intermediate layer (abstract). The ration of the deflection of the intermediate ball and the core is from 0.9 to 0.970 (abstract). The thickness of the intermediate layer from 0.2 to 2 mm and the cover layer thickness is from 1.6 to 2.3 mm (para. 29, 35). Since the properties as listed above fall

Application/Control Number: 10/765,088

Art Unit: 3711

within applicant's range the initial velocity will also fall within applicant's range.

Regarding claim 2, the cover layer thickness is from 1.6 to 2.3 mm and a Shore D hardness from 60 to 68 (para. 35, 36). The thickness of the intermediate layer from 0.2 to 2 mm and the Shore D hardness is from 45 to 55 (para. 27, 29). Since the properties as listed above fall within applicant's range the initial velocity will also fall within applicant's range. Regarding claim 3, the melt flow rate is obvious over Watanabe since the cover materials are identical. The present invention and Watanabe disclose Himilan 1706 and 1605 for cover materials. Regarding claim 5, since applicant claims ingredients B and a-1 or a-2 in zero amounts Watanabe satisfies the limitation by disclosing olefin-based elastomers for the intermediate layer (para. 24). One of ordinary skill in the art would vary the dimensions and properties for enhanced performance.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Gorden whose telephone number is 703-308-8354. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 703-308-1513. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rg November 1, 2004

RAEANN GORDEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER